

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 05-CV-329-GKF-SAJ
)	
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

GEORGE'S, INC.'S RESPONSES TO THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S
APRIL 20, 2007 SET OF REQUESTS TO ADMIT AND REQUEST
FOR PRODUCTION TO GEORGE'S, INC.


Comes now the Defendant, George's, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as "George's"), and for its Responses to Plaintiffs' April 20, 2007 Set of Requests to Admit and Request for Production, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26, 33 and 34, states as follows, to-wit.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. George's objects to and does not agree to, nor subject itself to, the arbitrary and extraordinary "definitions" ascribed by the plaintiffs to certain terms contained in their April 20, 2007 discovery requests. To the extent that the definitions appearing in the plaintiffs' April 20, 2007 discovery requests exceed the ordinary, every day and commonly understood meanings for and exceed the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, George's will ascribe the ordinary, every day and commonly understood meanings to such terms and will comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. George's objects to the discovery requests to the extent that they seek to impose obligations in excess of those required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. George's objects to the discovery requests because they are unlimited in time and, therefore, are overly broad, unduly burdensome and impossible to answer.



4. George's objects to the plaintiff's definition of "poultry waste" set forth on Page 1 of the discovery requests. Poultry litter is not "waste" as that term is commonly understood but is, instead, a valuable agricultural fertilizer. The plaintiff's use of the phrase "and/or any other waste associated with the confinement of poultry from a poultry feeding or growing operation" in the definition of "poultry waste" is open ended and could encompass an unknown number of things. The plaintiff's definition of "poultry waste" is vague, ambiguous, and misleading. As such, it is impossible for George's to understand the meaning of that term and to ascertain what it is being asked to admit or deny. Therefore any of the plaintiff's discovery requests that utilize that term are vague, ambiguous, misleading and not susceptible to a readily discernable meaning and would require George's to guess as to what it is admitting or denying, or to admit or deny a request that is subject to multiple interpretations.

5. George's objects to the plaintiff's definition of "your poultry growing operations" set forth on Page 1 of the discovery requests. Plaintiff's definition is incorrect and misleading in that it ignores the distinction between poultry farms owned and operated by a poultry integrator and farms owned and operated by independent contract growers. It is further misleading to the extent that it attempts to include individual contract growers' operations in the definition of "your poultry growing operations" for the purposes of these discovery requests. Requests that use the misleading definition would require George's to admit or deny requests about operations or things that do not belong to George's, which is a burden that exceeds the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

6. George's objects to the plaintiff's definition of "phosphorus" set forth on Page 1 of the discovery requests. George's objects to each discovery request that uses the term "phosphorus" because that term, as defined, is vague and ambiguous and lacks the degree of specificity required to enable George's to readily ascertain what it is being asked to admit or

deny. For the purposes of these responses, George's does not incorporate or use the plaintiff's arbitrary definition of phosphorus but instead will interpret the word "phosphorus" to mean elemental phosphorus, Atomic Number 15 on the Periodic Table of Elements.

7. George's objects to the plaintiff's definition of "pathogens" set forth on Page 2 of the discovery requests. Plaintiff's definition is overly broad, ambiguous, and misleading.

8. George's objects to the plaintiff's definition of "run-off" set forth on Page 2 of the discovery requests and to any requests that reference the term "run-off." As defined and used in the discovery requests the term "run-off" is not stated with the requisite degree of specificity to enable George's to determine what is being requested of it. Moreover, the plaintiff's definition includes the term "release." "Release" is a term of art used in CERCLA and the application of fertilizer has been specifically excluded from CERCLA's definition of a "release." As such, the plaintiff's definition is incorrect and misleading.

9. George's objects to the plaintiff's definition of "waters of the state" set forth on Page 2 of the discovery requests and to any requests that reference the term "waters of the state." As defined and used in the discovery requests the term "waters of the state" is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous in that it includes each and every waterbody in the state regardless of location or ownership.

10. George's objects to the plaintiff's use of the undefined term "hazardous substances" and to each discovery request that references that term. The term "hazardous substances" is overly broad, vague, and ambiguous and lacks the degree of specificity and particularity required to allow George's to ascertain what it is being asked to admit or deny. George's will not guess or hypothesize about the plaintiff's understanding of the term "hazardous substances."

11. George's also incorporates any additional objections contained in the responses and objections of other defendants to Plaintiffs' April 20, 2007 Requests to Admit and Requests for Production.

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS TO ADMIT

Request to Admit No. 1: Admit that poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed.

Response to Request to Admit No. 1: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's admits.

Request to Admit No. 2: Admit that poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed contains one or more "hazardous substances" within the meaning of CERCLA.

Response to Request to Admit No. 2: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the request despite reasonable inquiry of information known or readily obtainable by George's.

Request to Admit No. 3: Admit that poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed contains pathogens.

Response to Request to Admit No. 3: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the request despite reasonable inquiry of information known or readily obtainable by George's.

Request to Admit No. 4: Admit that poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed contains phosphorus.

Response to Request to Admit No. 4: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's denies.

Request to Admit No. 5: Admit that poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed has run-off from the land upon which it has been applied.

Response to Request to Admit No. 5: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's denies.

Request to Admit No. 6: Admit that poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Oklahoma portion of the Illinois River Watershed has run-off from the land upon which it has been applied.

Response to Request to Admit No. 6: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's denies.

Request to Admit No. 7: Admit that one or more "hazardous substances" within the meaning of CERCLA contained in poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed has run-off from the land upon which it has been applied.

Response to Request to Admit No. 7: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the request despite reasonable inquiry of information known or readily obtainable by George's.

Request to Admit No. 8: Admit that pathogens contained in poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed has run-off from the land upon which it has been applied.

Response to Request to Admit No. 8: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the request despite reasonable inquiry of information known or readily obtainable by George's.

Request to Admit No. 9: Admit that phosphorus contained in poultry waste from one or more of your poultry growing operations that has been spread on land located within the Illinois River Watershed has run-off from the land upon which it has been applied.

Response to Request to Admit No. 9: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's denies.

Request to Admit No. 10: Admit that poultry waste contributes a greater amount of phosphorus to the portion of the Illinois River located in Oklahoma than waste water treatment plants, cattle manure, manure from wildlife, septic systems, commercial fertilizers and stream bank erosion combined.

Response to Request to Admit No. 10: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's denies.

Request to Admit No. 11: Admit that poultry waste contributes a greater amount of pathogens to the portion of the Illinois River located in Oklahoma than waste water treatment plants, cattle manure, manure from wildlife and septic systems combined.

Response to Request to Admit No. 11: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's denies.

Request to Admit No. 12: Admit that poultry waste contributes a greater amount of phosphorus to Lake Tenkiller than waste water treatment plants, cattle manure, manure from wildlife, septic systems, commercial fertilizers and stream bank erosion combined.

Response to Request to Admit No. 12: Subject to and without waiving the General Objections, George's denies.

Request to Admit No. 13: Admit that one or more of your poultry growing operations located in the Oklahoma portion of the Illinois River Watershed is not in compliance with its animal waste management plan.

Response to Request to Admit No. 13: This request is objected to as overly broad, vague, and ambiguous in that there is no limiting time frame parameters. Subject to and without waiving this objection or the General Objections, George's lacks information sufficient to admit or deny the request despite reasonable inquiry of information known or readily obtainable by George's.

RESPONSES TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Request for Production No. 1: For each of the above Requests to Admit that you deny, please produce any and all documents in your possession, custody and control that support your denial (to the extent you have not already produced them to the State in this litigation).

Response to Request for Production No. 1: To the extent that George's denies the foregoing discovery requests for reasons other than lack of information, then the documents supporting such denial have been provided to the plaintiffs. Additional information supporting these denials will be provided in supplemental discovery responses.

GEORGE'S, INC.

/s/ James M. Graves

Gary V. Weeks
James M. Graves (OB #16657)
BASSETT LAW FIRM LLP
P.O. Box 3618
Fayetteville, AR 72702-3618
(479) 521-9996
(479) 521-9600 Facsimile

-AND-

Randall Rose (OB #7753)
THE OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.
234 W. 13th Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-0021
(918) 587-6111 Facsimile

Attorneys for Separate Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 21st day of May, 2007, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General
Kelly Hunter Burch, Assistant Attorney General
J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General
Robert D. Singletary, Assistant Attorney General

drew_edmondson@oag.Plaintiffs.ok.us
kelly_burch@oag.Plaintiffs.ok.us
trevor_hammons@oag.Plaintiffs.ok.us
robert_singletary@oag.Plaintiffs.ok

Douglas Allen Wilson
Melvin David Riggs
Richard T. Garren
Sharon K. Weaver
Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis

doug_wilson@riggsabney.com,
driggs@riggsabney.com
rgarren@riggsabney.com
sweaver@riggsabney.com

Robert Allen Nance
Dorothy Sharon Gentry
Riggs Abney

rnance@riggsabney.com
sgentry@riggsabney.com

J. Randall Miller
David P. Page
Louis W. Bullock
Miller Keffer & Bullock

rmiller@mkblaw.net
dpage@mkblaw.net
lbullock@mkblaw.net

Elizabeth C. Ward
Frederick C. Baker
William H. Narwold
Motley Rice

lward@motleyrice.com
fbaker@motleyrice.com
bnarwold@motleyrice.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

Stephen L. Jantzen
Patrick M. Ryan
Paula M. Buchwald
Ryan, Whaley & Coldiron, P.C.

sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com
pryan@ryanwhaley.com
pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com

Mark D. Hopson
Jay Thomas Jorgensen
Timothy K. Webster
Sidley Austin LLP

mhopson@sidley.com
jjorgensen@sidley.com
twebster@sidley.com

Robert W. George
Kutak Rock LLP

robert.george@kutakrock.com

**COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.,
AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.**

R. Thomas Lay
Kerr, Irvine, Rhodes & Ables

rtl@kiralaw.com

Thomas J. Grever
Lathrop & Gage, L.C.
Jennifer S. Griffin
Lathrop & Gage, L.C.

tgrever@lathropgage.com
jgriffin@lathropgage.com

COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann
Lawrence W. Zeringue
David C. Senger
Perrine, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry & Taylor, PLLC

rredemann@pmrlaw.net
lzeringue@pmrlaw.net
dsenger@pmrlaw.net

Robert E. Sanders
E. Stephen Williams
Young Williams P.A.

rsanders@youngwilliams.com
steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

A. Scott McDaniel
Nicole Longwell
COUNSEL FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

smcdaniel@mhla-law.com
nlongwell@mhla-law.com

John R. Elrod
Vicki Bronson
Conner & Winters, P.C.

jelrod@cwlaw.com
vbronson@cwlaw.com

Bruce W. Freeman
D. Richard Funk
Conner & Winters, LLLP
COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

bfreeman@cwlaw.com

John H. Tucker
Colin H. Tucker
Theresa Noble Hill
Rhodes, Hieronymus, Jones, Tucker & Gable

jtuckercourts@rhodesokla.com
chtucker@rhodesokla.com
thillcourts@rhodesokla.com

Terry W. West
The West Law Firm

terry@thewesetlawfirm.com

Delmar R. Ehrich
Bruce Jones
Krisann Kleibacker Lee
Dara D. Mann
Faegre & Benson LLP

dehrich@faegre.com
bjones@faegre.com
kklee@baegre.com
dmann@faegre.com

COUNSEL FOR CARGILL, INC. AND CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC

Jo Nan Allen
COUNSEL FOR CITY OF WATTS

jonanallen@yahoo.com

Park Medearis
Medearis Law Firm, PLLC
COUNSEL FOR CITY OF TAHLEQUAH

medearislawfirm@sbcglobal.net

Todd Hembree
COUNSEL FOR TOWN OF WESTVILLE

hembree1@aol.com

Tim K. Baker
Macy Hamilton Jessie
Tim K. Baker & Associates

tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net
maci.tbakerlaw@sbcglobal.net

**COUNSEL FOR GREENLEAF NURSERY CO., INC., WAR EAGLE FLOATS, INC., and
TAHLEQUAH LIVESTOCK AUCTION, INC.**

David A. Walls
Walls Walker Harris & Wolfe, PLLC
COUNSEL FOR KERMIT AND KATHERINE BROWN

wallsd@wwhlaw.com

Kenneth E. Wagner
Marcus N. Ratcliff
Laura E. Samuelson
Latham, Stall, Wagner, Steele & Lehman

kwagner@lswsl.com
mratcliff@lswsl.com
lsamuelson@lswsl.com

COUNSEL FOR BARBARA KELLEY D/B/A DIAMOND HEAD RESORT

Linda C. Martin
N. Lance Bryan
Doerner, Saunders, Daniel & Anderson, LLP
COUNSEL FOR SEQUOYAH FUELS & NORTHLAND FARMS

lmartin@dsda.com

Ron Wright
Wright, Stout, Fite & Wilburn
COUNSEL FOR AUSTIN L. BENNETT AND LESLIE A. BENNET, INDIVIDUALLY AND
D/B/A EAGLE BLUFF RESORT

ron@wsfw-ok.com

R. Jack Freeman
Tony M. Graham
William F. Smith
Graham & Freeman, PLLC
COUNSEL FOR "THE BERRY GROUP"

jfreeman@grahamfreeman.com
tgraham@grahamfreeman.com
bsmith@grahamfreeman.com

Angela D. Cotner
COUNSEL FOR TUMBLING T BAR L.L.C. and BARTOW AND WANDA HIX

angelacotneresq@yahoo.com

Thomas J. McGeady
Ryan P. Langston
J. Stephen Neas
Bobby J. Coffman
Logan & Lowry, LLP
COUNSEL FOR LENA AND GARNER GARRISON; AND BRAZIL CREEK MINERALS, INC.

sneas@loganlowry.com

R. Pope Van Cleef, Jr.
Robertson & Williams
COUNSEL FOR BILL STEWART, INDIVIDUALLY AND D/B/A DUTCHMAN'S CABINS

Popevan@robertsonwilliams.com

Lloyd E. Cole, Jr.
COUNSEL FOR ILLINOIS RIVER RANCH PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION; FLOYD
SIMMONS; RAY DEAN DOYLE AND DONNA DOYLE; JOHN STACY D/B/A BIG JOHN'S
EXTERMINATORS; AND BILLY D. HOWARD

colelaw@alltel.net

Douglas L. Boyd
COUNSEL FOR HOBY FERRELL and GREATER TULSA INVESTMENTS, LLC

dboyd31244@aol.com

Michael D. Graves
D. Kenyon Williams, Jr.
COUNSEL FOR POULTRY GROWERS

mgraves@hallestill.com
kwilliams@hallestill.com

William B. Federman
Jennifer F. Sherrill
Federman & Sherwood

wfederman@aol.com
jfs@federmanlaw.com

Teresa Marks
Charles Moulton
Office of the Attorney General
COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS OF ARKANSAS AND THE ARKANSAS NATURAL
RESOURCES COMMISSION

teresa.marks@arkansasag.gov
charles.moulton@arkansasag.gov

John B. DesBarres johnd@wcalaw.com
**COUNSEL FOR JERRY MEANS AND DOROTHY ANN MEANS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS
TRUSTEE OF JERRY L. MEANS TRUST AND DOROTHY ANN MEANS TRUST; BRIAN R.
BERRY AND MARY C. BERRY, INDIVIDUALLY AND D/B/A TOWN BRANCH GUEST
RANCH; AND BILLY SIMPSON, INDIVIDUALLY AND D/B/A SIMPSON DAIRY**

Carrie Griffith griffithlawoffice@yahoo.com
COUNSEL FOR RAYMOND C. AND SHANNON ANDERSON

Reuben Davis rdavis@boonesmith.com
Michael A. Pollard mpollard@boonesmith.com
COUNSEL FOR WAUHILLAU OUTING CLUB

Monte W. Strout strout@xtremeinet.net
COUNSEL FOR CLAIRE WELLS AND LOUISE SQUYRES

Thomas Janer scmj@sbcglobal.net
Jerry M. Maddux
COUNSEL FOR SUZANNE M. ZEIDERS

Michael L. Carr mcarr@holdenokla.com
Michelle B. Skeens mskeens@holdenokla.com
Robert E. Applegate rapplegate@holdenokla.com
Holden & Carr
COUNSEL FOR SNAKE CREEK MARINA, LLC

/s/ James M. Graves
James M. Graves

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

C. Miles Tolbert
Secretary of the Environment
Plaintiffs of Oklahoma
3800 North Classen
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

Ancil Maggard
c/o Leila Kelly
2615 Stagecoach Drive
Fayetteville, AR 72703
PRO SE

Thomas C. Green
Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP
1501 K Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
**COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC.,
TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON
CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS,
INC.**

G. Craig Heffington
20144 W. Sixshooter Rd.
Cookson, OK 74427
**ON BEHALF OF SIXSHOOTER RESORT
AND MARINA, INC.**

Jim Bagby
Rt. 2, Box 1711
Westville, OK 74965
PRO SE

Doris Mares
Cookson Country Store and Cabins
32054 S. Hwy 82
P. O. Box 46
Cookson, OK 74424
PRO SE

Eugene Dill
32054 S. Hwy 82
P. O. Box 46
Cookson, OK 74424
PRO SE

Gordon and Susann Clinton
23605 S. Goodnight Lon.
Welling, OK 74471
PRO SE

James R. Lamb
Dorothy Jean Lamb
Strayhorn Landing
Rt. 1, Box 253
Gore, OK 74435
PRO SE

James C. Geiger
Kenneth D. Spencer
Jane T. Spencer
Address Unknown
PRO SE

Robin Wofford
Rt. 2, Box 370
Watts, OK 74964
PRO SE

Richard E. Parker
Donna S. Parker
Burnt Cabin Marina & Resort, LLC
34996 South 502 Road
Park Hill, OK 74451
PRO SE

Marjorie A. Garman
Riverside RV Resort and Campground LLC
5116 Hwy. 10
Tahlequah, OK 74464
PRO SE

William and Cherrie House
P. O. Box 1097
Stillwell, OK 74960
PRO SE

/s/ James M. Graves
James M. Graves